Assessment Data	Student Learning Outcomes	Course Map	Combined PDX/SJ	PDX	SJ	Action Steps
1. Comprehensive Clinical Integrative Paper (Fall of even years)	GCPO a GCPO b GCPO c GCPO d GCPO e SII SF.1.k SII SF.2.d SII SF.2.e SII SF.3.a SII SF.3.i SII SF.4.e SII SF.4.j SII SF.5.f SII SF.8.a (1) SII SF.8.a (2) SV CMHC SC1.b	CN561	95% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 99% 100% 95% 95% 95% 100% 95% 100% 100%	95% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 97% 100% 95% 95% 100% 95% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Very high achievement of Global Program Outcomes and CACREP Standards ranging from 95%-100% (For 2019 in San Jose, this is better assessed by the CN509 results, since we had only one graduate from the new program this year.)

Assessment Data	Student Learning Outcomes	Course Map	Combined PDX/SJ	PDX	SJ	Action Steps
2. Clinical Foundations Competencies	GCPO d SII SF.5.f GCPO c	CN501	97% 94% 94% F2019 & Sp2020	96% 92% 92% F2019 & Sp2020	100% 100% 100% F2019	PDX Goal achieved for each assessed CACREP standard, with a range of 88 - 97% SJ Goal achieved for each assessed CACREP standard, with scores of 100%
3. Psychotherapeutic Systems	SV CMHC SC.1.b GCPO b	CN502 Sp2020		95% 92%		Goal achieved for each assessed CACREP standard, with a range of 92- 95%.
4. Legal & Ethical Issues Reflection Paper	SII SF.1.k GCPOc SII SF.1.i SV MCFC SF.3.e	CMN 506 Fall 2019	100% 99% 91% 98%	100% 100% 87%	100% 98% 98% 98%	Goal achieved for each assessed CACREP standard, with a range of 87 – 100%.
5. Human Lifespan Development Final Assessment Paper	SII SF.3.a SII SF.3.c SII SF.3.i	CN507 SP 2020	97% 97% 91%	95% 95% 95%	100% 100% 84%	Goal achieved for each assessed CACREP standard, with 95%. Goal achieved for each assessed CACREP standard, with a range of 84-100% SJ

Comprenensive MAC Program Assessment Data, Page 2

6. Group	SII SF.6.c	CN520	93%	94%	92%	Goal achieved for each
Counseling: Specialty group	SII SF.6.a (1)		90%	91%	90%	assessed CACREP
presentation	SII SF.6.a (2)		95%	98%	93%	standard, with a range of 91– 98%

7. Testing and Assessment	SII SF.7.e SII SF.7.b SII SF.7.h	CN515 SP2020	100% 92% 98%	100% 97% 100%	100% 75% 92%	Goal achieved for each assessed CACREP standard, with a range of 92-100%. One standard
8. Career Development Interview	SII SF.4.e SII SF.4.j SV MCFC SF.2.k	CN 518	99% 100% 100%	100% 100%	93% 100% 100%	regarding assessment selection was below the desired threshold SJ Summer, 2020 – PDX 99-100% Goal achieved for each assessed CACREP standard. 93-100%. SP2020 -SJ
9. Research and Program Evaluation	SII SF.8.a (2) SII SF.8.j SII SF.7.h SII SF.8.a (1)	CN514 F2019	100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100%	Goal achieved for each CACREP standard at 100% PDX All outcomes were measured at 100%, -SJ
10. Marriage, Couple, & Family	SV MCFC SF.1.b	CN510	n/a	n/a	89%	Only measured in CA. This standard was assessed to have been adequately achieved.
11. Advanced Integration Paper	GCPO b SII SF.3.a SII SF.5.f SV CMHC SC.1.b SV MCFC SF.1.b S II SF.1.k	CN509 SP2020	99% 100% 99% 100% 100%	99% 100% 99% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Goal achieved for each assessed CACREP standard, with a range of 99 - 100%. SJ- SU2020

12 -13. Internship Performance Evaluations (Site Supervisor, Campus Supervisor, Self)	GCPOc GCPOd GCPOe SII SF.1.i SII SF.2.c SII SF.2.d SII SF.3.a SII SF.3.c SII SF.3.i SII SF.7.b SV MCFC SF.2.m SV CMHC SC.1.b	CN530- 539	Aggregated Site Supervisor Evals 94% 94% 97% 97% 97% 91% 88% 87% 89% 89% 89% 89% Site/ Campus	Aggregated Campus Sup Evals 97% 94% 97% 97% 92% 89% 89% 89% 89% 89% 85te/ Campus	FA19 SP 2020 PDX 92% 95% / 98% 91% 95% / 97% 96% 99% / 98% 95% 100% / 98% 88% 95% / 97% 86% 92% / 95% 86% 92% / 95% 88% 90% / 94% 85% 91% /85% 85% 92% / 94% 85% 90% / 90% 88% 94% Site/ Campus	SU 2020 97% / 99.5% 95% / 96% 99% / 100% 97% / 100% 95% / 98% 80% / 96% 87% / 94% 88% / 90% 85% /89% 87% / 89% 90% / 89% /94% Site/ Campus	FA19 SP 2020 SJ 96% 94% / 84% 92% 93% / 88% 96% 88% / 84% 92% 88% / 100% 92% 92% / 80% 92% 92% / 84% 92% 83% / 100% 67% 83% / 67% 75% 88% / 67% 67% 88% / 84% 92% 88% / 84% Site/ Campus	SU 2020 95% / 98.5% 98% / 94% 100% / 100% 100% / 100% 98% / 90% 100% / 80% 100% / 80% 95% / 97% 90% / 87% 90% / 87% 100% / 80% Site/ Campus	Goal achieved for each assessed CACREP standard, with a range of 85% - 100%. Goal achieved for each assessed CACREP standard, with a range of 67 - 100% except for three standards: student theoretical conceptualizat ion of clients, culturally relevant treatment planning, and conducting initial client assessment SJ
14. Site Supervisor Evaluations of Group	SII SF6.a (1) SII SF6.c (Group)	F2019	88% 88%		88% 86%		89% 100%		Goal achieved for each assessed CACREP standard, with a range of 88% - 100%.

15. Supervisor evaluations by students (Fall & Spring)			91% Summer 2020	95% Sumi	mer 2020)	85	5% Summ	er 2020		Supervisors met student expectations with an average of 91%.
_	PDX										
16. Passing score on the	Oct. 18, 2019 N=14 μ = 89.2			Date	WS	Exit Exam		Date	WS	Exit Exam	Superior performance with all aggregate Western Seminary means exceeding the national
CPCE	Feb. 07, 2020 N=5			10/18/19	89,2	82.09		11-18-19	79	82.09	averages for Exit Exams.
(Fall, 2019 and Spring, 2020)	$\mu = 91.2$			2/07/;20	91.2	84.39		5-15-20	88	84.39	Both students passed the CPCE per the Western
	SJ										Seminary determined cut-off score of >25th percentile SJ
	Nov. 18, 2019 N=1 μ = 79										2000 0.7 200.7 porosinuio.
	May 15, 2020 N=1 μ = 88										
17.	SII SF.2.d SII SF.2.e	CN 513	100%	100%			1	100%			Summer, 2020
Multicultural Counseling	SII SF.2.c		100%	100%			1	100%			
Immersion Paper	SV MCFC SF.2.m		100%	100%			1	100%			
			100%				1	100%			
18. Site evaluations by students			96% Summer 2020	98% Sumi	mer 2020)	92	2% Summ	er 2020		The level of satisfaction with internship sites appears to be quite adequate with an average across campuses of 96%.

19. Graduate surveys N=12 F18, Sp19, & SU19	1 Preparation for basic helping skills 2 Assessing clients 3 Diagnosing clients 4 Treatment planning 5 Ethical issues 6 Client records 7 Use of supervision 8 Independent work 9 Team work 10 Work eclectically 11 Cultural sensitivity 12 Community resources 13 Using test data 14 Prep for licensure 15 Career and lifestyle 16 Understand family system 17 Satisfaction of CNS program 18 Satisfaction with internship experience 19 NCE 20 Employed in CNS profession w/l 6 mos.		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	100% highly 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100		we pre Wilsa all 80 se	20% of graduates indicated they bere highly satisfied with their reparation in basic helping skills. If hen highly and adequately satisfied responses are combined, if other areas were well above the 10% threshold except for cultural rensitivity and knowledge of community resources.
---	---	--	---	--	--	------------------------	---

20. Student Evaluation of Course	Courses	Student Course Evaluations Portland	Student Course Evaluations San Jose	Achievement of course objectives were high ranging from 75 to 100%	
Objectives	CN500	88% SU	96% SU		
Achievement	CN501	95% F 99% SP	96% F		
	CN502	81% SP OLC	97% F		
	CN503	92% SU	94% SP		
	CN504	94% F 98% SU	96% F		
	CN505	77% OLC	86% SP OLC		
	CMN506	91% F	93% SU		
	CN507	97% SP	98% F		
	CN508	93% SP	92% SU		
	CN509	88% SP	96% SU		
	CN510	87% F	N/A		
	CM511	N/A	96% SP		
	CM512	N/A	98% F		
	CMN513	95% SU	91% SU		
	CN514	86% F	86% F OLC		
	CN514	97% SP	96% SP		
	CMN517	85% SU	94% SP		
	CMN518	87% SU	94% SP		
	CN519	74% F	96% F		
	CN520	87% SP	98% SU		
	CN521	92% SU	90% SU		
		N/A	91% SP		
	CM522	N/A	96% SU		
	CM523	86%F 91% SP 94% SU	92% F 99% SP		
	CMN530	91%F 94% SP 95% SU	91% F 98% SP		
	CMN531	98%F 90% SP 77% SU	90% F 90% SP		
	CMN532	100 %F 100% SP 96% SU			
	CMN533	96%F 95% SP 100%SU	79% SU		
	CN561	82%F 83% SP 86% SU	89% F 95% SP 86% SU		
	CN562	3270. 3070 30. 3070 30	35701 3570 31 3070 35		

21. PAC		SP 2020	FA 2019	SP 2	020 F	A 2019		SP 2020	These are the primary outcomes for student achievement in the program as measured by the PAC Review.
review by	GCPO.a	99%	100%	999	% 1	00%		100%	Ratings ranged from 95% to 100%.
faculty	GCPO.b	99%	100%	999	% 1	00%		100%	Global program outcomes were each rated highly at 100%. These
	GCPO.c	98%	100%	989	% 1	00%		100%	are the primary outcomes for student achievement in the program
	GCPO.d	98%	98%	989	% 9	8%		100%	as measured by the PAC Review SJ
	GCPO.e	97%	100%	959		00%		100%	
		ONLY	PDX						
				#	Date	ws	CA		Western Seminary mean scores surpassed the national means.
22. NCE Exam Results									Further all students who took the exam passed on their first try.
				8	Fall, 2019	115.25	108.		

Academic experience Faculty accessible Facult
26 Interdependency 25 99% 25 100%

	30 Personal strengths and weaknesses 31 Variety of theories			1	11			
	31 Variety of theories		29	98%		29	88%	
			30	99%		30	95%	
	32 Apply biblical texts		31	99%		31	98%	
	33 Integrate understanding		32	92%		32	83%	
	34 Intercultural issues		33	98%		33	90%	
	35 Critical thinking		34	95%		34	93%	
	36 Build therapeutic relationship		35	96%	4	35	90%	
	37 Diagnose & Treatment plan		36	100%	_	36	100%	
	38 Ethical dilemmas		37	92%	4	37	88%	
	39 Work with couples		38	99%	_	38	95%	
	40 Lifelong learner		39	94%	+	39	95%	
			40	98%		40	100%	
	1 Basic helping skills				1			Supervisor evaluations of the
	2 Assessing client situations		1	95% 95%	-	1	83% 83%	program were good, ranging from 82% to 100%.
program surveys	3 Accurately diagnosing situations		2		-	2		
Spring 2020	4 Treatment planning		3	85%	4	3	67%	SP2020 Overall Rating = 86% -SJ
Portland N=11	5 Ethical issues		4	82%		4	83%	
	6 Maintaining Client records		5	100%		5	83%	
	J		6	85%		6	67%	
	7 Using supervision				4			
	8 Independent work		7	100%		7	100%	
	9 Team work		8	97%	4	8	83%	
	10 Work Eclectically		9	97%	-	9	83%	
	11 Cultural Issues		10	82%	-	10	83%	
	12 Community resources		11	90%		11	83%	
	13 Professional issues		12	82%		12	67%	
	14 Overall preparation		13	97%		13	83%	
			14	90%]	14	67%	

	1 Basic helping skills		Employer evaluations of the
5. Employer	2 Assessing client situations	1 100%	program were good, ranging from 78% to 100%.
rogram surveys	3 Accurately diagnosing situations		
N O	4 Treatment planning	3 100%	The two categories to be rated below our threshold are
N=3	5 Ethical issues	4 89%	sensitivity to cultural issues and knowledge of community
	6 Maintaining Client records	5 100%	resources.
	C Maintaining Chort records	6 89%	
	7 Using supervision		
	O la discondination of	7 100%	
	8 Independent work 9 Team work	8 89%	
	10 Work Eclectically	9 100%	
	11 Cultural Issues	10 89%	
	12 Community resources	11 78%	
	100 ()	12 78%	
	13 Professional issues		
	14 Overall preparation	13 100%	

All global and program 25. 2016 GCPO a 97% 98% 95% outcomes have been Standard GCPO b 96% 97% 94% achieved, ranging from 81% to 2 2 2 Aggregate Data 100% -PDX GCPO c 96% 96% 96% 3 3 All program outcomes scored GCPO d 94% 95% 93% above the 80% threshold 4 4 except one at 76% measuring GCPO e 97% 98% 93% intake assessment of clients. -5 5 5 5 SII SF.1.i 96% 96% 93% 6 6 6 SII SF.1.k 100% 100% 100% SII SF.2.c 93% 85% 93% 8 8 8 SII SF.2.d 91% 83% 91% 9 9 9 9 10 91% 10 83% 10 SII SF.2.e 10 91% SII SF.3.a 93% 90% 93% 11 11 11 11 SII SF.3.c 86% 89% 90% 12 12 12 12 SII SF.3.i 90% 91% 81% 13 13 13 13 SII SF.4.e 97% 97% 95% 14 14 14 SII SF.4.j 99% 97% 100% 15 15 15 15 SII SF.5.f 98% 98% 100% 16 16 16 16 SII SF.6.a (1) 83% 82% 17 17 17 SII SF.6.a (2) 89% 88% 18 18 18 18 SII SF.6.c 91% 81% 19 19 19 19 SII SF.7.b 88% 89% 76% 20 20 20 20 SII SF.7.e 100% 100% 100% 21 21 21 21 SII SF.7.h 99% 100% 96% 22 22 22 22 SII SF.8.a (1) 99% 98% 100% 23 23 23 23 SII SF.8.a (2) 99% 98% 100% 24 24 24 24 SII SF.8.j 100% 100% 100% 25 25 25 SV CMHC SC.1.b 92% 93% 91% 26 26 26 26 SV MCFC SF.2.m 89% 91% 100% 27 27 27 27 SII MCFC SF.1.b 90% 89% 28 28 28 28 SV MCFC SF 3.e 29 88% 29